



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,078	06/23/2003	Dirk Trossen	P3475US00	1210
36671 7590 06/07/2010 DITTHAVONG MORI & STEINER, P.C. 918 Prince Street Alexandria, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
PATIL, NIRAV B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2435				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/07/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@dcpatent.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/602,078	Applicant(s) TROSSEN, DIRK
Examiner NIRAV PATEL		Art Unit 2435	

All Participants:

(1) Ms. Chih-Hsin (Jennifer) Teng Reg. No. 63168.

(2) Nirav Patel.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 10, 12, 19, 28, 30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nirav Patel /

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2435

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was to discuss the amendment submitted on 4/7/10. Examiner indicated to the applicant that the above claims contain 112 2nd issue and further indicated deficiency associated with the claim limitation. Applicant will propose further amendment on the claims to overcome the deficiency, and an updated search and further consideration of the amendment, when filed, will be necessary before any determination can be made.